COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

Paper No. 13

Bradley D. Lytle OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. Fourth Floor 1755 Jefferson Davis Highway Arlington, Virginia 22202

APR , 2 2002

In re Application of

LEIJON et al.

Application No. 09/509,428

Filed: June 09, 2000

Attorney Docket No. 705/72341-2

**DECISION** 

ON PETITION

This is a decision on the petition, filed March 14, 2002 requesting that the prosecution in the above-identified patent application be suspended for a period of six months under 37 C.F.R. § 1.103(a). Petitioner also requests a second suspension of time for an additional six months, pursuant to MPEP § 1002.02(c)9, for a total of twelve months, beginning with the filing of the subject Petition.

Regarding a suspension on request of the applicant, 37 CFR 1.103(a) provides:

(a) Suspension for cause. On request of the applicant, the Office may grant a suspension of action by the Office under this paragraph for good and sufficient cause. The Office will not suspend action if a reply by applicant to an Office action is outstanding.

A review of the record indicates that an Office Action was mailed on November 27, 2001. To date, no reply to the outstanding Office Action has been received. Accordingly, Petitioner's request for suspension of action in this application under 37 CFR1.103(a) is denied as being improper. The period for response to the outstanding Office Action continues to run three (3) months from the November 27, 2001 mail date.

The petition is **DENIED**.

Inquiries regarding this decision should be directed to Richard Seidel at (703) 306-3431.

Richard Seidel, Director

Technology Center 2800
Semiconductors, Electrical and Optical
Systems and Components